

IASB decides to consider limited improvements to IFRS 9; Boards discuss unbundling

What you need to know

The IASB decided to open discussions on limited improvements to IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* to consider the interaction with the insurance contracts project and seek further convergence with US GAAP. The IASB recently decided that the effective date of IFRS 9 would be changed from 2013 to 2015.

The IASB and the FASB discussed several aspects of separating insurance contracts into various elements and will coordinate further debate on this matter with their deliberations on the presentation of the statement of comprehensive income.

Overview

On 15 November, the International Accounting Standards Board (the IASB) continued its re-deliberations of the tentative decisions in the Exposure Draft *Insurance Contracts* (ED). This discussion focused on treatment of residual margins and was educational in nature. In a separate session, the IASB discussed whether to consider making limited improvements to IFRS 9.

On 16 November, the IASB met with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) to discuss disaggregation/unbundling and the presentation of explicit account balances included within insurance contracts.

IFRS 9

The IASB decided that it will consider making limited improvements to IFRS 9. A key factor for this decision is the importance of considering the interaction between the accounting for financial assets under IFRS 9 and the proposed accounting model for insurance liabilities in the insurance contracts project. Limited improvements to IFRS 9 could also lead to opportunities for convergence with the FASB's proposals for financial instruments, although a limited revision of IFRS 9 would not come in lieu of the consultation request for the FASB proposals.

Since the release of the ED, discussions about increased earnings volatility caused by the proposed insurance model have been ongoing. Many respondents to the ED have suggested that the IASB should permit certain changes in both the measurement of insurance liabilities and assets backing those liabilities be reported directly via an OCI approach, as a way to reduce volatility caused by accounting mismatches. Prior to this meeting, the IASB concluded that it would not be viable to look at approaches that would fall outside the existing IFRS 9 classification and measurement requirements.

The decision to look at certain areas of IFRS 9 will allow the IASB to consider the accounting for financial instruments and the proposals for the future insurance model together - without being bound by the current requirements in IFRS 9. The FASB is expected to join this debate because it is expected to include an OCI approach in their proposal to revise the accounting for financial assets.



In a meeting on 7 November, the IASB agreed to change the mandatory effective date for application of IFRS 9 to 1 January 2015. However, the IASB also noted that it may revisit this date in the future, depending on the progress of the remaining phases of IFRS 9 and the insurance contracts project.

How we see it

The decision to look at IFRS 9 marks an important development for the insurance contracts project, and could ultimately lead to a fundamental change to key aspects of the insurance model as well as IFRS 9. This development, therefore, will be a top priority on the accounting change agenda of many insurers. We believe it is important that they get involved in the debate on OCI and consider providing further input on this topic to the Boards.

Residual Margins (Educational session)

For a contract that is based on the building block model, the residual margin at inception is the amount that must be recognised as a liability to prevent a gain at issue. The IASB's discussion on this topic focused on whether:

- ▶ The residual margin should be locked in at inception

Or

- ▶ It should be adjusted for changes in estimate of future cash flows, the effects of changes in discount rates or changes in the risk adjustment

And

- ▶ If adjusted for changes, which type of changes should be considered.

Some IASB members stated that a locked-in residual margin was more understandable and less complicated than one that was unlocked. However, most IASB members appear to be leaning towards unlocking the residual margin, as that would better reflect

the margin an insurer expects to earn based on the most recent expectations.

IASB members had varying views about whether the changes in risk adjustment and in discount rates should also result in changes in the residual margin. They also noted that the treatment of residual margins needs to be synchronised with the possible use of OCI for changes in certain inputs such as discount rates.

The IASB asked the staff to prepare a comprehensive set of examples that illustrates the impact of changes in circumstances on key aspects of the model. Such examples would allow them to consider holistically the wider context of the overall model, including unlocking of residual margins, the use of OCI and the connection with changes in the measurement of assets backing insurance liabilities. These examples also would help the IASB to understand the practical issues of possible residual margin alternatives and to investigate other issues, such as the unit of aggregation for determining the residual margin and treatment for onerous contracts.

This session was purely educational and, as such, the IASB made no decisions.

How we see it

The challenge for the IASB will be to establish the right sequence of considerations and make certain that the decisions on various elements related to volatility fit together at the end. A request for examples may indicate that the IASB sees the potential complexity as an important factor to consider when determining the treatment of the residual margin.

Disaggregation of explicit account balances

In the last discussion, the Boards expressed a desire to approach the unbundling of financial and service features in the same fashion that is proposed in the revenue recognition project. Instead, the staffs proposed that insurers should use the building blocks for the measurement of all the cash flows, but with separate presentation of the explicit account value within an insurance contract from the remainder of the insurance liability. The staffs' proposal defined explicit account balances as those in which the balance is the accumulation of monetary transactions between the insurer and the policyholder and that balance is credited with an explicit return.

The Boards had a lengthy debate on various aspects of separating insurance contracts, and a range of views were expressed. They concluded there are two perspectives to this topic:

- ▶ The unbundling of components of insurance contracts that are to be measured under another standard, before the proposed building block model for insurance contracts is applied

And

- ▶ Disaggregating certain elements of the insurance liability and presenting them separately

The Boards were unable to decide between these two perspectives because they believe they need more information on the significance of the effect on the measurement. Because the second item addresses presentation, the Boards noted that this issue may be resolved when they conclude on the income statement volume disclosures.

How we see it

Life insurance contracts are often a combination of several interdependent elements, including a savings component. Developing an accounting model that presents that interdependence and also reflects the savings nature of the contracts will be a challenge and may introduce additional complexity to a model that already is complicated. Hence, the Boards will have to decide if they can be satisfied with what is essentially presentation or disclosure information about financial components of certain contracts, or whether they will insist that those components need to be treated like financial liabilities for measurement purposes.

Next Steps

The IASB plans to issue a revised exposure draft or a review draft of the final standard in the first half of 2012. It will establish a publication date for the final standard in due course. The FASB currently aims to issue its exposure draft in the same period.

The Boards will have their next discussion on insurance during the December Board meetings; the topics have not been announced.



Area IFRS insurance contacts

Global		
David Foster	+44 20 7951 5687	dfoster@uk.ey.com
Kevin Griffith	+44 20 7951 0905	kgriffith@uk.ey.com
Christine Holmes	+31 88 407 3876	christine.holmes@nl.ey.com
Actuarial		
Brian Edey (Life)	+41 58 286 4224	brian.edey@ch.ey.com
Alex Lee (Property/casualty)	+44 20 7951 1047	alee6@uk.ey.com
Mark Freedman (Life)	+1 215 448 5012	mark.freedman@ey.com
Liam McFarlane (Property/casualty)	+1 416 941 7751	liam.mcfarlane@ca.ey.com
Americas		
Carol Carlson	+1 617 375 1431	carol.carlson@ey.com
Richard Lynch	+1 212 773 5601	richard.lynch@ey.com
Doug McPhie	+1 416 943 3800	doug.mcphie@ca.ey.com
Asia Pacific		
Kieren Cummings (Hong Kong)	+85 2 2846 9888	kieren.cummings@hk.ey.com
Mark Raumer (Australia)	+61 2 9248 4832	mark.raumer@au.ey.com
Europe, Middle East, India and Africa		
Rolf Bächler (Switzerland)	+41 58 286 44 95	rolf.baechler@ch.ey.com
Justin Balcombe (Dubai)	+00 971 5660 31149	justin.balcombe.ae.ey.com,
Niek de Jager (The Netherlands)	+31 88 407 3849	niek.de.jager@nl.ey.com
Cornea De Villiers (South Africa)	+27 21 443 0364	cornea.devilliers@za.ey.com
Adam Fornalik (Poland)	+48 225577192	adam.fornalik@pl.ey.com
David Foster (UK)	+44 20 7951 5687	dfoster@uk.ey.com
Pedro Garcia Langa (Spain)	+34 915 727 812	pedro.garcialanga@es.ey.com
Bhavesh Ghandi (Bahrain)	+00 973 1751 4758	bhavesh.ghandi@bh.ey.com
Peter Griffiths (Bahrain)	+00 973 1751 4777	peter.griffiths@bh.ey.com
Jasper Kolsters (UK)	+44 20 7951 6977	jkolsters@uk.ey.com
Loic Moan (France)	+33 1 46 93 42 02	loic.moan@fr.ey.com
Gabriele Pieragnoli (Italy)	+39 027 221 2434	gabriele.pieragnoli@it.ey.com
Rohan Sachdev (India)	+91 22 4035 6300	rohan.sachdev@in.ey.com
Stefan Schmid (Switzerland)	+41 58 286 3416	stefan.schmid@ch.ey.com
Nicole Verheyen (Belgium)	+32 3 270 1394	nicole.verheyen@be.ey.com
Ralf Widmann (Germany)	+49 711 9881 15142	ralf.widmann@de.ey.com
Japan		
Peter Gaydon	+81 3 3503 2998	gaydon-ptr@shinnihon.or.jp
Kenji Usukura	+81 3 3503 1191	usukura-knj@shinnihon.or.jp

Ernst & Young

Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About Ernst & Young

Ernst & Young is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. Worldwide, our 152,000 people are united by our shared values and an unwavering commitment to quality. We make a difference by helping our people, our clients and our wider communities achieve their potential.

Ernst & Young refers to the global organization of member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit www.ey.com.

About Ernst & Young's Global Insurance Center

Insurers must increasingly address more complex and converging regulatory issues that challenge their risk management approaches, operations and financial reporting practices. Ernst & Young's Global Insurance Center brings together a worldwide team of professionals to help you achieve your potential – a team with deep technical experience in providing assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The Center works to anticipate market trends, identify the implications and develop points of view on relevant industry issues. Ultimately it enables us to help you meet your goals and compete more effectively. It's how Ernst & Young makes a difference.

© 2011 EYGM Limited.

All Rights Reserved.

EYG no. AU1022

1111-1308988 BOS



Ernst & Young is committed to reducing its impact on the environment. This document was printed using recycled paper and vegetable-based ink.

This publication contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only. It is not intended to be a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgment. Neither EYGM Limited nor any other member of the global Ernst & Young organization can accept any responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication. On any specific matter, reference should be made to the appropriate advisor.

www.ey.com/ifrs