

# Hong Kong Tax Alert

3 January 2019  
2019 Issue No. 2

## Issues relating to the operation of the concessionary tax regimes for qualifying aircraft lessors and qualifying aircraft leasing managers and non-resident funds

*In its 2018 annual meeting with the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (HKICPA), the Inland Revenue Department (IRD) indicated that:*

- (i) if qualifying aircraft lessors and qualifying aircraft leasing managers wish to qualify for the concessionary tax rate of 8.25%, they may not be able to sub-contract out part of their activities to be performed outside Hong Kong; and*
- (ii) transactions in virtual currencies and digital tokens would generally not qualify as “specified transactions” exempt under the tax regime for non-resident funds. Possible exceptions include situations where under certain specific Initial Coin Offering (ICO) arrangements, the digital tokens represent “shares”, “debentures” or “interests in a collective investment scheme”.*

*This alert discusses the issues involved. Clients who have questions on the views expressed by the IRD in the meeting, or would like to discuss in greater detail how such views might impact their business operations, should seek professional tax advice.*

## **Qualifying aircraft lessors and qualifying aircraft leasing managers**

Under the recently introduced concessionary tax regime for qualifying aircraft lessors and qualifying aircraft leasing managers, certain conditions must be satisfied before the relevant profits of such lessors and managers are eligible to be taxed at the concessionary tax rate of 8.25%.

One of these conditions is that the activities that produce the relevant profits in a year are either (i) carried out in Hong Kong by the qualifying aircraft lessors or the qualifying aircraft leasing managers themselves; or (ii) arranged by the qualifying aircraft lessors or the qualifying aircraft leasing managers to be carried out in Hong Kong - i.e., the so-called "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong".

### **Questions raised by the HKICPA**

Further to this "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong", and given that Hong Kong as yet may not have established a critical mass of aircraft leasing management expertise in certain overseas aircraft leasing markets, the HKICPA raised the following questions in the meeting:

For a qualifying aircraft lessor in Hong Kong: whether such a lessor would still satisfy the "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong" if the lessor appointed an overseas aircraft leasing manager to solicit lessees, negotiate lease term and provide other lease management services including those relating to aircraft acquisition and disposal matters etc. by way of activities performed outside Hong Kong, while the lessor evaluated and made the ultimate investment and leasing decisions in Hong Kong?

For a qualifying aircraft leasing manager in Hong Kong: whether such a manager would still satisfy the "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong" if the manager sub-contracted out part of their aircraft leasing management services to an overseas aircraft leasing manager who performed the sub-contracted services outside Hong Kong?

### **IRD's reply**

The response of the IRD was that if the sub-contracted out activities were not performed in Hong Kong by the service providers, the qualifying aircraft lessor or the qualifying aircraft leasing manager in the above example would not satisfy the "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong". As such, the relevant profits would not qualify for the concessionary tax rate.

The IRD added that under section 26AB of the Inland Revenue Ordinance (IRO), the Commissioner of Inland Revenue (CIR) was empowered to prescribe a minimum threshold for the "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong" for qualifying aircraft lessors or qualifying aircraft leasing managers.

Such a minimum threshold, if set, would be measured by various indicators such as (a) the number of full time employees in Hong Kong who carry out the activity and have the qualifications necessary for doing so; and (b) the amount of operating expenditure incurred in Hong Kong in performing the activity.

### **Commentary**

The IRD's above response seems a bit too broad-brushed. Arguably, the relevant activities to be considered for the "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong" are the activities of the qualifying aircraft lessors or the qualifying aircraft leasing managers themselves in Hong Kong that produce their relevant profits, rather than the sub-contracted out activities performed outside Hong Kong by the service providers.

This would particularly be the case where despite sub-contracting out part of their activities to be performed outside Hong Kong by an overseas aircraft leasing manager, the qualifying aircraft lessor in the above example would nonetheless still be required to evaluate and make the ultimate investment and leasing decisions in Hong Kong.

Similarly, the qualifying aircraft leasing manager in the above example may also be involved in various co-ordination and liaison work in Hong Kong between the clients and the overseas aircraft leasing manager, despite sub-contracting out part of their aircraft leasing management services to the overseas aircraft leasing manager.

Thus far the CIR has not prescribed any minimum threshold for the "substantial activity requirement in Hong Kong" for qualifying aircraft lessors or qualifying aircraft leasing managers. Conceivably, the qualifying aircraft lessor and the qualifying aircraft leasing manager in the above example could satisfy the minimum threshold when one is set by the CIR in the future.

It would be unusual if, having satisfied such a minimum threshold in the future, the qualifying aircraft lessor or the qualifying aircraft leasing manager in the above example would nonetheless be ineligible for the concessionary tax rate.

In such circumstances, the denial of their eligibility for the concessionary tax rate, simply because they have sub-contracted out part of their activities to be performed outside Hong Kong by an overseas service provider, does not seem justified.

## **Transactions in cryptocurrencies by non-resident funds**

Section 20AC of the IRO provides that, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, all non-resident persons (including individuals, corporations, partnerships and trusts) will be exempt from profits tax in Hong Kong if their activities in Hong Kong are restricted to "specified transactions" and transactions incidental to the specified transactions.

For this purpose, there are six categories of specified transactions: (i) a transaction in securities; (ii) a transaction in future contracts; (iii) a transaction in foreign exchange contracts; (iv) a transaction consisting in the making of a deposit other than by way of a money-lending business; (v) a transaction in foreign currencies; and (vi) a transaction in exchange-traded commodities.

### **Question raised by the HKICPA**

The question raised by the HKICPA was whether a transaction in cryptocurrencies falls within any one of the above six categories of specified transactions.

### **IRD's reply**

In general, the IRD took the view that a transaction in cryptocurrencies would not be a specified transaction falling within any one of the above six categories of specified transactions.

Specifically, the IRD noted that cryptocurrencies were not "foreign currencies" because they did not constitute legal tender in foreign jurisdictions. Instead, cryptocurrencies offered in typical ICO were usually characterized as virtual commodities in Hong Kong (and not exchanged-traded), and a virtual commodity itself was not "securities" as defined.

Nonetheless, the IRD indicated that digital tokens offered or sold under an ICO in the following circumstances might be regarded as "securities" and subject to the securities law of Hong Kong:

- ▶ If digital tokens offered in an ICO represent equity or ownership interests in a corporation, these tokens might be regarded as "shares". For example, token holders might be given shareholders' rights, such as the right to receive dividends and the right to participate in the distribution of the corporation's surplus assets upon winding up.
- ▶ If digital tokens were used to create or acknowledge a debt or liability owed by the issuer, they might be considered as a "debenture". For example, an issuer might repay token holders the principal of their investment on a fixed date or upon redemption, with interest paid to token holders.
- ▶ If token proceeds were managed collectively by the ICO scheme operator to invest in projects with an aim to enable holders to participate in a share of the returns provided by the project, the digital tokens might be regarded as "an interest in a collective investment scheme".

The IRD noted that "shares", "debentures" and "interests in a collective investment scheme" were "securities" as defined. As such, a transaction in cryptocurrencies with the aforesaid features under the above circumstances might be regarded as a specified transaction under section 20AC of the IRO.

Where a transaction in cryptocurrencies is not tax exempt as a specified transaction, the IRD indicated that the IRD would apply the broad guiding principle of "what the taxpayer had done to earn the profit in question and where he had done it" to determine the source of the relevant profits.

The IRD added that where, under the broad guiding principle, the source rule of the place where "the contracts of purchase and sale were effected" was applied to the buying and selling of cryptocurrencies as a virtual commodity, the IRD would not merely look at the place where the contracts were signed. Instead, all the steps leading to the negotiations and conclusion and performance of the contracts would be looked at in determining where the relevant contracts were effected.

Many of the issues discussed above are complicated. Clients who have questions on the views expressed by the IRD in the meeting, or would like to discuss in greater detail how such views might impact their business operations, should seek professional tax advice.

## EY Contacts - Financial Services

### Hong Kong office

**Ian McNeill**  
EY Asia-Pacific, Managing Partner, Tax  
+852 2849 9568  
ian.mcneill@hk.ey.com

### Principal tax contact, Ernst & Young Tax Services Limited

**Florence Chan**  
Partner  
+852 2849 9228  
florence.chan@hk.ey.com

### Business Tax Services

**Paul Ho**  
Partner  
+852 2849 9564  
paul.ho@hk.ey.com

**Sunny Liu**  
Partner  
+852 2846 9883  
sunny.liu@hk.ey.com

### International Tax Services

**James Badenach**  
Partner  
+852 2629 3988  
james.badenach@hk.ey.com

**Jacqueline Bennett**  
Partner  
+852 2849 9288  
jacqueline.bennett@hk.ey.com

**Adam Williams**  
Partner  
+852 2849 9589  
adam-b.williams@hk.ey.com

### Transfer Pricing Services

**Justin Kyte**  
Partner  
+852 2629 3880  
justin.kyte@hk.ey.com

### Transaction tax

**Rohit Narula**  
Partner  
+852 2629 3549  
rohit.narula@hk.ey.com

### Global Compliance and Reporting

**Michael Stenske**  
Partner  
+852 2629 3058  
michael.stenske@hk.ey.com

## EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

### About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

© 2019 Ernst & Young Tax Services Limited.  
All Rights Reserved.

APAC No. 03007728  
ED None.

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

[ey.com/china](http://ey.com/china)

### Follow us on WeChat

Scan the QR code and stay up to date with the latest EY news.

