

Top of Mind

Issues facing
technology companies

Product line integration disconnect may obstruct technology deals' full potential

There is an irony at the heart of many technology deals – a disconnect that frequently prevents technology companies from fully realizing the potential deal synergies, revenue growth and long-term strategic impact they originally envisioned for their key transactions. Simply stated: too often, the chief development officer (CDO) or corporate development team responsible for the success of the deal are left out of the all-important product line integration (PLI) process.

The issue is particularly acute and increasingly problematic for technology companies at this stage of the industry's evolution. Today, global technology M&A deal valuations are rising, as is the speed of change as the five transformational megatrends (smart mobility, social networking, cloud computing, big data analytics and accelerated technology adaptation) drive startlingly fast strategy evolution for technology companies. Both factors (valuations and megatrends) are raising the stakes on successful deal outcomes.

"At technology companies, product line integration is primarily the domain of engineers and technologists, so the CDO is not always invited to the table," explains Iddo Hadar, Technology Transaction Integration Advisor, Transaction Advisory Services at EY. "But that can put product line integration synergies and revenue opportunities at risk, slow the realization of synergies in other areas dependent on the product line integration, and prevent institutional learning that can make the next deal integration more effective and efficient."



Building a better
working world



Iddo Hadar
Technology Transaction
Integration Advisor
EY
iddo.hadar@ey.com
+1 408 947 6666

Iddo has more than 25 years of executive and advisory experience in corporate strategy, transaction planning and management, product portfolio, marketing and restructuring across many industries, including semiconductors, electronics, internet and software. His many engagements have taken him across the globe from Asia, Europe, South America and to the Middle East. Some integration-specific engagements led by Iddo include: providing lead advisory and support to the integration management office for the acquisition of a global software provider; setting up a JV as a channel for marketing a software product and services portfolio into a new market segment; leading the integration of a service business acquisition, revising market strategy, and consolidating product lines and a global footprint; leading a multibillion-dollar acquisition of an OEM; and conducting due diligence and acquisition integration for a cross-border project for the electronics industry. Iddo has an MBA from Stanford University and also serves on the Stanford faculty teaching valuation and financial modeling. He also holds a BS in Economics and Management from Tel Aviv University.

Additional contacts:

Alan Castillo +1 415 894 8187
Joe Steger +1 408 947 5488

Why PLI is critical for success of technology deals

Hadar notes that PLI tends to play a more central role in technology deals than in other industries, for two main reasons:

- ▶ Revenue synergies typically represent a larger portion of the acquisition opportunity in technology deals – and PLI is the primary driver of revenue synergies.
- ▶ Because of technology's extraordinarily fast pace of change, any delay in PLI is more expensive in terms of lost opportunity.

The barrier between CDOs and PLI

At the same time, Hadar notes that, "Because of the technical nature of product strategy and architecture, PLI issues become more compartmentalized in technology companies. Questions about whether to prune certain products, how to align different products, what architectures to choose – tend to be addressed as purely technological discussions, with limited cross functional input on financial, commercial or operational considerations," Hadar says.

The challenging nature of PLI in technology companies leads to the erection of a higher barrier to collaboration between CDOs and business unit heads than exists in other industries. "Involvement of the corporate function in product integration decisions is much higher in other industries because it's more straightforward for CDOs to participate in the conversation," Hadar says.

Consequences of non-collaboration ...

PLI efforts that include only business unit heads or their technical teams are less inclusive, by definition. Consequently, they are less transparent – which leads to delays in realizing deal synergies and opportunities in other areas that likely have PLI-related dependencies, including manufacturing, supply chain and marketing.

Another consequence of non-collaboration is that product integrations end up being treated as one-off special cases, with little or no benefit from previous integration

efforts. This is because a given business unit is not necessarily involved in every acquisition. If it also is not collaborating with corporate developers – who have experience from many deals – then it is not benefiting from the lessons learned from previous integrations, nor the corporate developers' deep knowledge of integration methodology, tools and practices. These factors usually result in less discipline around decision-making and incomplete documentation, which prolong the PLI process for technology companies. "Slowing the PLI process is dangerous in such a fast-moving industry. Competitors make moves, supply chains change, market dynamics shift. So many things can happen that obviate your original deal rationale," notes Hadar.

... and the benefits of close collaboration

The "honeycomb" of activities shown in Figure 1, opposite, separates the PLI process into seven discrete elements. Conceptually, the figure conveys the tight-knit relationships and multiple interdependencies among the seven elements, with product strategy and value drivers at the center, galvanizing all others. These relationships and interdependencies can be significantly enhanced through effective methodologies and tools for making PLI decisions rapidly, documenting them properly and communicating them effectively.

For example, to properly integrate the product portfolio and pipeline, companies must often launch several joint product development programs. However, joint product development cannot effectively progress without first aligning practices and methodologies between the buyer company and its target, or agreeing on standard engineering tools and resources. Failure to do the former likely leads to time wasted in semantic disagreements between buyer and target members of the joint development team; failure to do the latter obstructs common product specifications and designs that can be forwarded to manufacturers in your supply chain.

Governance and alignment

Further, the large number of PLI interdependencies, including those with other departments of the company, compels the need for the governance element shown in Figure 1. “A sound PLI process absolutely requires a PMO [project management office] that is looking at all the issues, and identifying all the interdependencies, both within the PLI process and between product integration and the go-to-market team, the operations team, the supply chain and the back-office team,” Hadar says.

Benefits of standardized, repeatable processes

Bringing business unit leaders, engineers and technologists together with CDOs to collaborate on PLI using proven methodologies and toolkits improves the odds of realizing deal value – and also creates important long-term benefits. It fosters fact-based decisions, increases information transparency and leads to standardized, repeatable practices that transfer lessons learned in one transaction integration effort to benefit future integrations.

“By having a ‘mega’ project management aspect to product integration, companies can better coordinate and align all facets of the transaction integration process. They obtain broader participation, which drives increased inclusiveness and transparency, and they create a focal point for learning and sharing best practices,” says Hadar. “Done this way, product integration leads to greater value creation and positively impacts other deal drivers, such as cost efficiency, key talent retention and the go-to-market plan.”

Finally, it’s important to note that whether your business unit involves your own corporate development team, or transaction advisors such as Hadar, these specialists are not making any actual PLI decisions. Instead, they provide the standardized, repeatable processes, tools and methodologies that help your company’s technologists and engineers make difficult PLI decisions faster and more productively, while coordinating more effectively with the rest of the organization.

Bottom line

The bottom line is that many technology companies can do a better job with product integration by coordinating and aligning it with the rest of their transaction integration processes and priorities. That ties PLI to the deal’s value drivers and schedule in a way that’s transparent and allows for broader participation, inclusiveness and proactive oversight. It creates a focal point for learning that promotes sharing of leading practices within the company and across multiple integration efforts. Most critically, it enables important value creation and positively impacts other drivers, such as cost efficiencies, key talent retention and go-to-market plans.

These are lessons technology companies have already learned with regard to integration of shared services and back-office functions. In these areas, determined, focused efforts and the application of leading-practice methodologies and tools consistently yield good results. So it should be with product line integration. “You can’t assume that integrating the product line of another technology company will simply happen, naturally. That kind of *magical thinking* will end with disappointing results,” says Hadar.

By participating in product line integration, the CDO and his or her team can contribute more effectively to the success of deals by proactive versus retrospective tracking of product line synergies and decision-making. This will help companies realize better ROI on current and future deals.

Figure 1: Key product line integration levers



Source: EY analysis, 2014.

Name	Telephone number	Email
Global Technology sector leader		
Pat Hyek Global Technology Leader	+1 408 947 5608	pat.hyek@ey.com
Technology service line leaders		
Channing Flynn Global Technology Tax Services Leader	+1 408 947 5435	channing.flynn@ey.com
Dave Padmos Global Technology Advisory Services Leader	+1 408 947 4940	dave.padmos@ey.com
Joe Steger Global Technology Transaction Advisory Services Leader	+1 408 947 5488	joseph.steger@ey.com
Guy Wanger Global Technology Assurance Services Leader	+1 650 802 4687	guy.wanger@ey.com
Transaction Advisory Services (TAS) key technology contacts		
Ranjan Biswas India	+91 806 727 5131	ranjan.biswas@in.ey.com
Staffan Ekström Global Telecoms Leader - Transactions and TMT Leader, Nordics	+46 8 520 593 90	staffan.ekstrom@se.ey.com
Neil Hutt United Kingdom	+44 1189 281535	nhutt@uk.ey.com
Ben Kwan TAS and TMT Market Segment Leader Greater China	+852 2849 9223	ben.kwan@hk.ey.com
Jeff Liu US Technology M&A Advisory	+1 415 894 8817	jeffrey.liu@ey.com
Simon Pearson United Kingdom	+44 20 7951 0418	spearson@uk.ey.com
Dr. Carsten F. Risch Germany	+49 30 25471 21426	carsten.risch@de.ey.com
Ken Smith TAS Leader, Japan	+81 3 4582 6400	kenneth.smith@jp.ey.com
"Top of mind" article contacts		
Alan Castillo	+1 415 894 8187	alan.castillo@ey.com
Iddo Hadar	+1 408 947 6666	iddo.hadar@ey.com

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

About EY's Global Technology Center

EY's Global Technology Center (GTC) is a network of 15,000 technology practice professionals from across our global member firms, all sharing deep technical and industry knowledge. Our high-performing teams are diverse, inclusive and borderless. Our experience helps clients grow, manage, protect and, when necessary, transform their businesses. We provide assurance, advisory, transaction and tax guidance through a network of experienced and innovative advisors to help clients manage business risk, transform performance and improve operationally. Visit us at ey.com/technology.

About EY's Transaction Advisory Services

How you manage your capital agenda today will define your competitive position tomorrow. We work with clients to create social and economic value by helping them make better, more informed decisions about strategically managing capital and transactions in fast-changing markets. Whether you're preserving, optimizing, raising or investing capital, EY's Transaction Advisory Services combine a unique set of skills, insight and experience to deliver focused advice. We help you drive competitive advantage and increased returns through improved decisions across all aspects of your capital agenda. Visit us at ey.com/transactions.

© 2014 EYGM Limited.
All Rights Reserved.

EYG no. DC0180
ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.