Shifting focus
Risk culture at the forefront of banking

Five key themes have emerged from this year’s study of risk management practices, which was conducted by EY in cooperation with the Institute of International Finance. Fifty-two banks in 27 countries participated.

1. **Sharper focus on culture and conduct**

The global banking industry is trying to tackle the question of risk culture. Some firms are still focused on assessing whether they have any reason to be concerned about the culture across the business, but many have moved directly into the phase of correcting or reinforcing the culture. One of the key issues highlighted is the need to shift accountability for risk into the front office. Another is the need for clear messages about risk from top to bottom in the organization, reinforced by training and performance metrics and supported by an embedded risk appetite. It is evident that these efforts have to be linked with remuneration. With regard to enforcing accountability for risk, one executive remarked that it must affect individuals “where it counts – in their wallets.”

2. **Risk governance structures being strengthened**

One important aspect of enhancing risk culture is risk governance frameworks. Boards and senior management are facing increasing scrutiny and pressure from regulators, the media and the public to tighten internal controls and reduce high-risk behavior. Rising litigation costs, steep fines and reputational damage have clearly been a catalyst for firms to
re-evaluate and strengthen risk governance frameworks. Firms are adding new board-level committees to more closely monitor business ethics and conduct, and many are restructuring internally – streamlining and integrating current management committees, adding new committees and functions – to break down silos and close the gaps in risk oversight and control. Many are also strengthening their three lines of defense to clarify roles and responsibilities and redesigning frameworks to shift risk accountability to the front line. The role of the risk function continues to expand, with some banks now ensuring it oversees compliance risks, for example.

3. Greater attention to non-financial risk
Many banks thought the events that led to the large operational losses sustained during the past five years (fines, payments to purchasers of products, fraud losses and so forth) were the result of weak oversight and control processes. This has triggered risk and control reviews in a number of banks and spurred changes to accountability to ensure the front office focuses on the quality of controls in the end-to-end activity. Banks have also increased evaluation of near-miss events and have sought mechanisms to improve information channels up through the organization, including whistle-blower arrangements. Moreover, many reported special initiatives aimed specifically at strengthening processes around new products to reduce the likelihood of mis-selling risk. These include the use of senior or board-level committees to review suitability and make certain that customers are being treated fairly.

4. Banks continue to struggle to embed risk appetite across the enterprise
Fully embedding a risk appetite framework continues to be a significant challenge for many banks. Despite the fact that risk appetite has been a key area of focus for both boards and chief risk officers (CROs) in recent years, many firms are still finding it difficult to translate the firmwide risk appetite strategy into the day-to-day planning and operations of the business. While close to one-third of this year’s respondents say they have successfully integrated risk appetite into business units (a steady increase over the past three years), more than one-half report continuing difficulty in moving the risk appetite approach further into their businesses. The impetus to integrate it more deeply comes from the link seen to risk culture, as well as ongoing pressure from regulators who view risk appetite as a brake on risk-taking and want it extended to include non-financial risks. As one interviewee told us, “Risk culture, risk appetite, business strategies, people and processes must all be aligned in order for everything to work effectively.”

5. Basel III regulations still driving fundamental changes to the industry
The industry is facing continuing pressures on business models from regulatory changes, particularly Basel III. The core issue is that with the higher capital and liquidity buffers, and with investors pushing back against the resulting lower ROEs, many business lines are now no longer sufficiently profitable. Many banks have exited entire lines of business and are still exiting operations and retreating to core markets. Prices for banking products are also falling, and international banks are coming under competitive pressure from local banks in some markets and shadow banks in others. A key question is at what point the investors will accept the lower ROEs. Many believe that it will likely take some time because, as one executive remarked, “Investors want to see a track record and a pattern and until that starts to play out, there will be uncertainty about the long-term economic and return model under Basel III.”

► Download a full version of Shifting focus: Risk culture at the forefront of banking at ey.com/bankingrisk.
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**About EY**

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.
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**About the EY Global Regulatory Network**

EY’s Global Regulatory Network helps our clients find solutions to their regulatory challenges, providing extensive experience, leadership and strategic insights on financial regulation. Led by Dr. Tom Huertas, former Alternate Chair of the European Banking Authority, the network comprises former regulators throughout the Americas, Asia and Europe, many with senior regulatory experience, including membership in the Basel Committee, the Financial Stability Board, the European Banking Authority, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and the Japanese Financial Services Agency. The network enables our clients to understand and adapt to the impact of the changing regulatory landscape, advising on such topics as:

- Capital and liquidity
- Recovery and resolution
- Governance
- Risk culture
- Structure
- Conduct
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