

Global Immigration alert

August 2026

United States

USCIS publishes guidance explaining agency's analysis for AOS applicant public charge inadmissibility determinations

Executive summary

On 18 August 2026, US Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) updated its Policy Manual to outline how officers will consider evidence to determine whether an applicant for adjustment of status (AOS) is likely to become a public charge. The guidance implements the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) recent rescission of the 2022 public charge inadmissibility regulations, which becomes effective on 18 September 2026. The updated guidance clarifies which foreign nationals are subject to the public charge ground of inadmissibility, the factors officers will consider when making public charge determinations, and the process for public charge bonds.

USCIS also announced on 19 August 2026 that a new Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status, will become mandatory on 18 September 2026. This new form, which facilitates the collection of evidence that will be evaluated under the new guidance, must be used for all applications that are filed electronically or postmarked on or after 18 September.

Background and analysis

The Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) provides that certain foreign nationals seeking admission to the United States or AOS may be found inadmissible if they are likely at any time to become a public charge. Under the updated guidance, USCIS officers will evaluate the likelihood that an AOS applicant may become a public charge based on the totality of the circumstances at the time of the foreign national's application for admission or AOS. Officers must consider the statutory factors of age, health, family status,

assets, resources and financial status, and education and skills.

Significantly, the new guidance notes that officers may consider the applicant's "employment history, in the totality of the circumstances, in the context of assessing [their] education and skills, as well as assets, resources and financial status." However, past or current unemployment alone would be insufficient to support a finding of inadmissibility based on public charge grounds. Further, the updated Policy Manual indicates that USCIS will consider certain medical conditions in the totality of the circumstances analysis, even when such conditions would not otherwise make an applicant inadmissible.

USCIS further clarifies how public benefits will be considered in future adjudications. For benefits received prior to 18 September 2026, officers will consider only public cash assistance for income maintenance and long-term institutionalization at government expense. For means-tested public benefits received on or after 18 September 2026, USCIS may consider any such benefits as part of the overall public charge analysis and totality of the circumstances review.

Lastly, if USCIS determines that an AOS applicant is inadmissible solely because they are likely to become a public charge, the agency may invite the applicant to post a public charge bond. USCIS will assess bond amounts based on the applicant's individual circumstances and potential eligibility for government assistance.

What this means

The updated Policy Manual provides additional insight into how USCIS will implement the revised public charge framework beginning 18 September 2026. While the statutory factors remain unchanged, the guidance confirms that officers will evaluate each case based on the totality of the circumstances at the time of adjudication and will have broader discretion to consider an applicant's overall financial circumstances and receipt of means-tested public benefits when assessing self-sufficiency. As a result, AOS applicants may be subject to increased scrutiny of their financial resources, support arrangements, educational background, health, family circumstances, and prior use of public benefits.

Employers and foreign nationals pursuing permanent residence should familiarize themselves with the updated guidance and consider its potential impact on future AOS filings. USCIS is expected to continue implementing the revised public charge framework through updated forms, adjudication guidance, and case-by-case review procedures.

We will continue to monitor and share future developments. For additional information, or if you wish to discuss this further, please contact your EY Law LLP professional or Mehlman Jacobs LLP professional.

EY Law LLP

Batia Stein, Partner
+1 416 943 3593
batia.j.stein@ca.ey.com

Marwah Serag, Partner
+1 416 943 2944
marwah.serag@ca.ey.com

Melanie Bradshaw, Partner
+1 416 943 5411
melanie.bradshaw@ca.ey.com

Mehlman Jacobs LLP
Sharon Mehlman, Partner
+1 858 404 9350
sharon.mehlman@mehlmanjacobs.com

Dilnaz A. Saleem, Partner
+1 713 750 1068
dilnaz.saleem@mehlmanjacobs.com

Author: Manal Khan, Associate Attorney, Manager
+1 416 941 1884
manal.khan@ca.ey.com

Roxanne Israel, Partner
+1 403 206 5086
roxanne.n.israel@ca.ey.com

Sheila Snyder, Partner
+1 604 899 3515
sheila.snyder@ca.ey.com

Stephanie Lipstein, Partner
+1 514 879 2725
stephanie.lipstein@ca.ey.com

EY | Building a better working world

EY is building a better working world by creating new value for clients, people, society and the planet, while building trust in capital markets.

Enabled by data, AI and advanced technology, EY teams help clients shape the future with confidence and develop answers for the most pressing issues of today and tomorrow.

EY teams work across a full spectrum of services in assurance, consulting, tax, strategy and transactions. Fueled by sector insights, a globally connected, multi-disciplinary network and diverse ecosystem partners, EY teams can provide services in more than 150 countries and territories.

All in to shape the future with confidence.

Follow us on X @EYCanada

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via ey.com/privacy. EY member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

About EY Law LLP

EY Law LLP is a Canadian law firm, affiliated with Ernst & Young LLP in Canada. Both EY Law LLP and Ernst & Young LLP are Ontario limited liability partnerships. EY Law LLP has no association or relationship with Ernst & Young LLP in the US, or any of its members. EY member firms do not practice law where not permitted by local law or regulation. Ernst & Young LLP (US) does not practice law or offer legal advice. For more information, please visit EYLaw.ca.

About Mehlman Jacobs LLP

Mehlman Jacobs LLP specializes in immigration law and provides legal and strategic advice to employers and their employees on all stages in the immigration process. Providing boutique, customized experience, the firm aims to provide transparency to an often complex and uncertain environment. Mehlman Jacobs, a California Limited Liability Partnership, limited to the practice of immigration law, is a member of Ernst & Young Global Limited and is independently owned and operated by US licensed lawyers.

© 2026 Ernst & Young LLP.

All Rights Reserved.

A member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

EYG no. 114066-26-GBL

This publication contains information in summary form, current as of the date of publication, and is intended for general guidance only. It should not be regarded as comprehensive or a substitute for professional advice. Before taking any particular course of action, contact us or another professional advisor to discuss these matters in the context of your particular circumstances. We accept no responsibility for any loss or damage occasioned by your reliance on information contained in this publication.