

# Hong Kong Tax Alert

23 September 2022  
2022 Issue No. 11

## Proposed refined foreign source income exemption regime (FSIE regime)

***In this first part of a two-part series, we discuss our views on how the terms “received in Hong Kong” and “pure equity holding company” should be defined and interpreted and the relevance of a certificate of residence (CoR) issued for the purposes of a comprehensive avoidance of double taxation arrangement (CDTA) to the proposed refined FSIE regime.***

### Wide scope of the proposed refined FSIE regime to be effective from 1 January 2023

The proposed amendments to the current Hong Kong’s FSIE regime to address the European Union’s concern about our tax exemption of certain offshore passive income without requiring the taxpayers concerned to have economic substance in Hong Kong will affect many taxpayers.

This is because taxpayers that are constituent entities of a multinational enterprise (MNE) group that fall within the charging section for profits tax in Hong Kong, i.e., section 14 of the Inland Revenue Ordinance will be covered persons to be affected by the proposed refined FSIE regime.

For this purpose, an MNE group will be widely defined to include (i) any group that has constituent entities in a jurisdiction other than in the jurisdiction where the ultimate parent entity is located; and (ii) a company that has a permanent establishment located in a jurisdiction outside the jurisdiction of its head office, without any revenue or asset threshold for both cases.

Effective from 1 January 2023, covered taxpayers will need to satisfy certain economic substance requirement in Hong Kong if they wish to enjoy tax exemption of in-scope offshore passive income when received in Hong Kong.

Four categories of offshore passive income will be in-scope: (i) dividends; (ii) gains from the disposal of shares or equity

interest (disposal gains); (iii) interest; and (iv) income from intellectual properties (IP income).

Instead of requiring economic substance in Hong Kong in terms of number of qualified persons employed and annual operating expenditure incurred, the nexus approach requirement will be adopted as a proxy for the economic substance requirement for IP income.

In respect of dividends and disposal gains, as an alternative to satisfying the economic substance requirement, covered taxpayers can rely on satisfying the participation exemption conditions for tax exemption under the proposed refined FSIE regime.

Following the issuance of the consultation paper by the government, we have received from clients many questions and views on the proposed refined FSIE regime. We have consolidated these views and duly conveyed the same to the government. It is understood that the government is considering views made in our submission and where appropriate, will incorporate them in the forthcoming amendment bill scheduled to be issued in late October or early November.

In this tax alert, we will share the questions that are of common interest and our views thereon.

### **View 1 – the term “received in Hong Kong” be defined no wider than the corresponding term in Singapore**

Under the proposed refined FSIE regime, the taxability of in-scope offshore passive income will only need to be considered when the income is “received in Hong Kong”.

This basis of taxation under the proposed refined FSIE regime is like the “remittance basis” of taxation for offshore income in Singapore. As such, a common view is that the term “received in Hong Kong” under the proposed refined FSIE regime should not be defined wider than how the corresponding term “received in Singapore” is defined in Singapore.

We share this view in our submission to the government as otherwise the tax competitiveness of Hong Kong vis-à-vis Singapore as an international business hub in the region would be undermined.

The corresponding term “received in Singapore” is defined in the Income Tax Act of Singapore as follows:

- (a) any amount from any income derived from outside Singapore which is remitted to, transmitted or brought into, Singapore;
- (b) any amount from any income derived from outside Singapore which is applied in or towards satisfaction of any debt incurred in respect of a trade or business carried on in Singapore; and
- (c) any amount from any income derived from outside Singapore which is applied to purchase any movable property which is brought into Singapore.

This means that while subparagraph (a) of the above definition covers physical receipt of the income concerned in Singapore, subparagraphs (b) and (c) include certain specific instances of deemed constructive receipts in Singapore.

It is of note that subparagraph (b) of the above definition is not in practice regarded by the Inland Revenue Authority of Singapore as covering the situation where unremitted offshore income that is non-trading in nature (e.g., dividends) is used to discharge an obligation of a Singapore resident company to pay dividends to its shareholders.

This practice is apparently adopted on the premise that such an obligation is not a debt incurred in respect of a trade or business carried on in Singapore, dividend payments only being made after the trade or business concerned has profitably been carried out.

It will therefore be in the interest of Hong Kong that the term “received in Hong Kong” under the proposed refined FSIE regime should not be wider than how the corresponding term “received in Singapore” is defined and interpreted in practice in Singapore.

### **View 2: certain incidental activities be allowed to be undertaken by a “pure equity holding company”**

According to the consultation paper, a “pure equity holding company” means “a company which, as its primary function, acquires and holds shares or equitable interests in companies and only earns dividends and disposal gains in relation to shares or equity interest”. This is largely identical to the equivalent term adopted in the economic substance laws of certain no or nominal tax jurisdictions.

Some of such jurisdictions, e.g., the Cayman Islands and Bermuda consider that such a company can nonetheless undertake other activities or earn other income that are part of, or incidental to, its business as a “pure equity holding company”.

What constitutes as a “pure equity holding company” is important to covered taxpayers under the proposed refined FSIE because such a company will be subject to a reduced economic substance requirement for the tax exemption of offshore dividends and disposal gains when received in Hong Kong.

The consultation paper indicates under the reduced substance requirement, a “pure equity holding company” is only required to (i) undertake the holding and managing of its equity participation in Hong Kong; and (ii) complying with the corporate law filing requirements in Hong Kong.

To maintain Hong Kong as a desirable jurisdiction for MNE groups to locate their holding companies, it would therefore be necessary for Hong Kong to in practice adopt as liberal an interpretation of the definition of “pure equity holding company” as possible, and the same be made known to the taxpayers as soon as possible.

We therefore suggest that concurrent with the publication of the amendment bill, the Inland Revenue Department (IRD) should also issue a preliminary guidance note which, among other things, contains illustrative examples addressing various issues relating to a “pure equity holding company”.

Such issues to be addressed could include: (i) the nature and scale of other activities, e.g., investment of dividend income in the form of a deposit or shareholder’s loan or marketable securities, that would be accepted as being incidental to the activities of a “pure equity holding company”; and (ii) only minimal activities, e.g., the passing of board resolutions in Hong Kong for the declaration of dividends and approval of financial accounts would be sufficient for being regarded as holding and managing the equity participation in Hong Kong under the reduced substance requirement. The contents of such a preliminary guidance note should then be incorporated in a formal Departmental Interpretation and Practice Note to be issued when the amendment bill is passed into law.



**View 3 - taxpayers that have been issued a CoR for a CDTA be generally considered as satisfying the economic substance requirement under the proposed refined FSIE regime**

In processing an application for a CoR for the purpose of a CDTA, in addition to the residence test, the IRD would also consider whether an applicant has sufficient business substance in Hong Kong to prevent abuse of the terms of the CDTA.

We therefore consider that there is a case to regard covered taxpayers that have been issued a CoR for a year as generally satisfying the economic substance requirement for the same year under the proposed refined FSIE regime.

This would minimize tax administration and compliance costs for both covered taxpayers and the IRD.

**Time to act now**

Subject to the passage of the amendment bill by the Legislative Council, the proposed refined FSIE regime will apply to in-scope offshore passive income accrued to and received in Hong Kong by a covered taxpayer on or after 1 January 2023.

The government has indicated that as an interim facilitating measure to provide more certainty to covered taxpayers, upon the gazettal of the amendment bill, the IRD will allow taxpayers to seek the Commissioner's opinion (the Opinion) on the compliance with the economic substance requirements proposed under the amendment bill. The interim measure will be replaced by the mechanism under the existing advance ruling system once the proposed refined FSIE regime is enacted into law.

The Commissioner will give the Opinion based on the information provided, normally within 1 month after the application and complete information are received.

Further details as regards the procedures of application for the Opinion and the detailed information required for the application will be announced on the IRD's website when the amendment bill is gazetted.

Covered taxpayers should closely monitor the developments on this front, especially those adopting the January to March accounting year-end date (the so-called M code taxpayers). These covered taxpayers will be the first batch of taxpayers subject to the proposed refined FSIE regime in respect of their 2022/23 profits tax filings. Please contact your tax executives if you need assistance or have any questions on the proposed refined FSIE regime.



**Hong Kong office**  
Jasmine Lee  
Managing Partner, Hong Kong & Macau  
27/F One Taikoo Place, 979 King's Road, Quarry Bay, Hong Kong  
Tel: +852 2846 9888      Fax: +852 2868 4432

Non-financial Services				Financial Services	
Wilson Cheng Tax Leader for Hong Kong and Macau +852 2846 9066 wilson.cheng@hk.ey.com				Paul Ho Tax Leader for Hong Kong +852 2849 9564 paul.ho@hk.ey.com	
Business Tax Services / Global Compliance and Reporting				Business Tax Services / Global Compliance and Reporting	
Hong Kong Tax Services				Hong Kong Tax Services	
Wilson Cheng +852 2846 9066 wilson.cheng@hk.ey.com		Tracy Ho +852 2846 9065 tracy.ho@hk.ey.com		Jennifer Kam +852 2846 9755 jennifer.kam@hk.ey.com	
May Leung +852 2629 3089 may.leung@hk.ey.com		Ada Ma +852 2849 9391 ada.ma@hk.ey.com		Ricky Tam +852 2629 3752 ricky.tam@hk.ey.com	
Grace Tang +852 2846 9889 grace.tang@hk.ey.com		Karina Wong +852 2849 9175 karina.wong@hk.ey.com		Leo Wong +852 2849 9165 leo.wong@hk.ey.com	
Joy Chen (Family Office) +852 2846 9688 joy.chen@hk.ey.com					
China Tax Services				Camelia Ho +852 2849 9150 camelia.ho@hk.ey.com	
Ivan Chan +852 2629 3828 ivan.chan@hk.ey.com		Lorraine Cheung +852 2849 9356 lorraine.cheung@hk.ey.com		Michael Stenske +852 2629 3058 michael.stenske@hk.ey.com	
Becky Lai +852 2629 3188 becky.lai@hk.ey.com		Carol Liu +852 2629 3788 carol.liu@hk.ey.com			
Payroll Operate		Accounting Compliance and Reporting			
Vincent Hu +852 3752 4885 vincent-wh.hu@hk.ey.com		Ernest Wong +86 21 2228 5808 Ernest.wong@cn.ey.com		Cecilia Feng +852 2846 9735 cecilia.feng@hk.ey.com	
International Tax and Transaction Services					
International Tax Services		Transfer Pricing Services			
Jo An Yee +852 2846 9710 jo-an.yee@hk.ey.com		Sangeeth Aiyappa +852 26293989 sangeeth.aiyappa@hk.ey.com		Martin Richter +852 2629 3938 martin.richter@hk.ey.com	
		Kenny Wei +852 2629 3941 kenny.wei@hk.ey.com			
Transaction Tax Services					
David Chan +852 2629 3228 david.chan@hk.ey.com		Jane Hui +852 2629 3836 jane.hui@hk.ey.com		Eric Lam +852 2846 9946 eric-yh.lam@hk.ey.com	
				Qiannan Lu +852 2675 2922 qiannan.lu@hk.ey.com	
People Advisory Services					
Robin Choi +852 2629 3813 robin.choi@hk.ey.com		Mary Chua +852 2849 9448 mary.chua@hk.ey.com		Christina Li +852 2629 3664 christina.li@hk.ey.com	
				Jeff Tang +852 2515 4168 jeff.tk.tang@hk.ey.com	
				Winnie Walker +852 2629 3693 winnie.walker@hk.ey.com	
				Paul Wen +852 2629 3876 paul.wen@hk.ey.com	
Asia-Pacific Tax Centre					
Tax Technology and Transformation Services		International Tax and Transaction Services		Indirect tax	
Agnes Fok +852 2629 3709 agnes.fok@hk.ey.com		US Tax Desk		Shubhendu Misra +852 2232 6578 shubhendu.misra@hk.ey.com	
Robert Hardesty +852 2629 3291 robert.hardesty@hk.ey.com		Jeremy Litton +852 3471 2783 jeremy.litton@hk.ey.com		Peggy Lok +852 2629 3866 peggy.lok@hk.ey.com	
Albert Lee +852 2629 3318 albert.lee@hk.ey.com		Winona Zhao +852 2515 4148 winona.zhao1@hk.ey.com		Andy Winthrop +852 2629 3556 andy.p.winthrop@hk.ey.com	
		Operating Model Effectiveness		Tax and Finance Operate	
		Alice Chung +852 3758 5902 alice.chung@hk.ey.com		Edvard Rinck +852 2675 2834 edvard.rinck@hk.ey.com	
				Tracey Kuuskoski +852 2675 2842 tracey.kuuskoski@hk.ey.com	

## EY | Building a better working world

**EY exists to build a better working world, helping to create long-term value for clients, people and society and build trust in the capital markets.**

**Enabled by data and technology, diverse EY teams in over 150 countries provide trust through assurance and help clients grow, transform and operate.**

**Working across assurance, consulting, law, strategy, tax and transactions, EY teams ask better questions to find new answers for the complex issues facing our world today.**

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients, nor does it own or control any member firm or act as the headquarters of any member firm. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via [ey.com/privacy](https://ey.com/privacy). EY member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit [ey.com](https://ey.com).

### About EY's Tax services

Your business will only succeed if you build it on a strong foundation and grow it in a sustainable way. At EY, we believe that managing your tax obligations responsibly and proactively can make a critical difference. Our 50,000 talented tax professionals, in more than 150 countries, give you technical knowledge, business experience, consistency and an unwavering commitment to quality service – wherever you are and whatever tax services you need.

© 2022 Ernst & Young Tax Services Limited.  
All Rights Reserved.  
APAC no. 03015526 ED None.

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, legal or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

**[ey.com/china](https://ey.com/china)**



**Follow us on WeChat**  
Scan the QR code and stay up-to-date  
with the latest EY news.