

# Singapore Tax alert

## Impact of recent US tariffs on ASEAN businesses

### Companies should consider the following immediate actions:

- Assess the potential impact of the new US tariffs on upcoming US imports
- Consider alternative transaction structures to mitigate higher duty costs
- Analyse whether transfer pricing outcomes may deviate from the arm's length standard
- Proactively engage with government agencies to discuss incentives, particularly if there are modifications to existing project commitments

The trade and investment relationship between the US and The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) is significant. Recent changes in US tariff policies, particularly under the "America First Trade Policy", have profound implications for global trade dynamics, including those with ASEAN. This tax alert examines the impact of these tariffs on ASEAN businesses and outlines strategic responses to mitigate risks and optimise opportunities.

### Impact of US tariffs on ASEAN

ASEAN engages in extensive trade with the US, with total goods traded reaching US\$476.8bn in 2024. US goods exports to ASEAN are estimated at US\$124.6bn, while imports from the region totalled US\$352.3bn, resulting in a significant overall trade deficit<sup>1</sup>. In contrast, the US maintains a trade surplus with Singapore, exporting goods valued at US\$46bn and importing goods worth US\$43.2bn<sup>2</sup>.

On 2 April 2025, US President Trump unveiled further elements of the "America First Trade Policy", a broad review of trade policy that introduces a new wave of reciprocal tariff measures on all imports to the US.

<sup>1</sup> Office of the United States Trade Representative, <https://ustr.gov/countries-regions/southeast-asia-pacific/association-southeast-asian-nations-asean>, accessed 7 April 2025.

<sup>2</sup> Office of the United States Trade Representative, <https://ustr.gov/countries-regions/southeast-asia-pacific/singapore>, accessed 7 April 2025.



The better the question.  
The better the answer.  
The better the world works.



Shape the future  
with confidence

Effective at midnight on 5 April 2025, this policy establishes a minimum blanket tariff rate of 10% on all US imports. Furthermore, beginning at midnight on 9 April 2025, a country-specific ad valorem tariff rate will be applied to nations with which the US has the largest trade deficits and that impose other trade barriers. Key US trading partners will be significantly impacted, with imports from the European Union, China, Japan, South Korea and Switzerland facing a reciprocal tariff of 20%, 54% (i.e., combined total tariff inclusive of the previously imposed 20%), 24%, 25% and 31% respectively. On 8 April 2025, US White House press secretary Karoline Leavitt announced at a press briefing that the reciprocal tariffs on imports from China will increase to 104%. The table below summarises the proposed reciprocal tariffs for ASEAN:

Country	US discounted reciprocal tariffs
Brunei	24%
Cambodia	49%
Indonesia	32%
Laos	48%
Malaysia	24%
Myanmar	44%
Philippines	17%
Singapore	10% (i.e., baseline tariff rate)
Thailand	36%
Vietnam	46%

Source: [Reciprocal Tariffs, Whitehouse](#)

Certain goods will not be subject to these new reciprocal tariffs, including the previously announced 25% ad valorem tariff on all automobile and automobile parts imports that went into effect as of 3 April 2025, goods subject to ongoing investigations (such as copper, pharmaceuticals, semiconductors, etc.) and imports from Canada and Mexico that qualify for the US-Mexico-Canada Agreement.

The new tariffs will likely lead to higher production costs in US and consumer prices, potentially reducing demand for ASEAN exports to the US and vice versa. The escalation of trade measures may also prompt retaliatory actions from affected countries, further complicating trade relationships, increasing the cost of doing business and resulting in a permanent decline in international trade. While US President Trump said that “my policies will never change”, countries are approaching the US to make a deal. In ASEAN, Vietnam has started its negotiations with the US to delay the imposition of reciprocal tariff and Malaysia is seeking to forge a united ASEAN response. The outcome of such negotiations remains uncertain and businesses will need to consider its strategic responses in this shifting international trade landscape.

## Strategic responses for businesses

To navigate these challenges, businesses must be proactive and consider both immediate tactical, as well as longer-term, strategic responses.

### Immediate responses

#### *Assess impact and conduct scenario planning*

The starting point for all businesses should be to have a clear map of transaction flows that could be affected. This should cover both sale of goods into the US market, as well as purchases from the US that might be impacted by any retaliatory tariffs.

When considering the implementation of a tariff rate change, businesses must evaluate whether they will absorb the tariff burden or pass it on to their customers in accordance with contractual terms. This decision often hinges on the price elasticity of demand for the products and the competitive landscape. If the tariff is absorbed, it may impact profit margins, whereas passing it on could lead to higher prices and potentially reduced demand. Clear and transparent communication with customers is crucial, explaining the reasons for the price adjustments and how it affects them.

Additionally, implementing a tariff rate change involves updating pricing models and billing systems and ensuring compliance with new regulations.

Furthermore, businesses should conduct thorough scenario planning to assess the potential impacts of various tariff scenarios on their operations, taking into consideration the likely responses of their key customers and suppliers. This involves assessing supply chain vulnerabilities, developing contingency plans for different tariff rates and durations, and engaging in continuous risk assessment and adjustment of business strategies in response to evolving trade policies.

#### *Identify opportunities to mitigate higher duty costs*

The quantum of customs duties to be paid is a function of three factors: the nature of the goods in question (as determined by the HS code), the country of origin, and the value of the goods being imported. For most companies, in the short term, it would be practical to re-evaluate the valuation of goods. There are several avenues for importers to consider here, provided that the interplay between transfer pricing and customs duties can be managed:

- The “first sale” rule presents a strategic opportunity for US importers in light of the newly imposed tariffs. By permitting importers to utilise the price of the initial sale – between the

manufacturer and a middleman – for customs valuation rather than the final sale price to the importer, this rule can potentially mitigate the financial impact of increased import duties. This approach not only encourages more competitive pricing strategies but also enables importers to navigate the complexities of tariff regulations more effectively, ultimately supporting their bottom line in a challenging trade environment.

- Alternatively, by deferring title transfer to US distributors or customers until after products have been imported, it may be possible to use a lower transaction value than if there is a high seas title transfer, as used by many multinational corporations (MNCs) currently. The benefits of this approach needs to be evaluated against the administrative requirements of changing the importer of record and any potential permanent establishment risks that may be created.
- Finally, most MNCs use product transfer price to capture the value of all goods, services and intellectual property (IP) rights that is provided to their US distributors. However, it may be worth considering whether some of these components could be unbundled and charged separately, as not all are necessarily dutiable. Separate charge for the use of IP rights may however attract royalty withholding taxes.

#### ***Consider secondary implications for transfer pricing policies***

The imposition of new US tariffs is likely to elevate the cost of doing business and cause significant global disruption. As many companies experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic, these unanticipated shocks can make it difficult to manage transfer pricing policies. Depending on the incidence of the tariff burden, the operating margins for distributors may be adversely affected. For limited risk distributors, it is imperative to assess whether the targeted arm's length margin can still be achieved.

#### ***Review impact on currency fluctuations***

The shift in trade policies and consumer and investor confidence has caused unpredictable currency movements. Currency fluctuations can significantly affect the financial health of businesses engaged in international trade. Strategies to manage these impacts include monitoring exchange rates, implementing hedging strategies, and engaging in financial planning and analysis to forecast and mitigate currency risks.

#### ***Review impact on existing tax incentives***

For MNCs that benefit from substance-based incentives, it will be necessary to consider whether reduced trade and potential shifts in supply chain activity will impact their ability to meet incentive obligations.

In light of the prevailing economic uncertainties, companies may find it prudent to postpone investments, delay the launch of new products and implement cost-cutting measures. For companies bound by commitments (e.g., project commitments, volume targets, manpower targets and local business spending) under existing tax incentives, it is essential to assess how evolving business strategies and circumstances may affect these incentives.

Incentivised companies should engage in transparent and proactive communication with government agencies. This dialogue is crucial for renegotiating the terms of existing incentives, thereby mitigating the risk of retroactive clawbacks of incentive benefits. By fostering collaborative relationships with government agencies, companies can better align their operational objectives with the evolving economic environment, ensuring sustained growth and compliance.

#### ***Longer-term strategic responses***

The current levels of uncertainty make it very difficult for most companies to contemplate material changes to their supply chain. However, as the dust begins to settle, companies should consider what changes are necessary to both manage the specific costs associated with the newly imposed tariffs, as well as to provide enough flexibility in the supply chain in order to mitigate against future risks.

#### ***Identify alternative manufacturing locations and models***

Under the previous Trump Administration, some companies (although by no means all) began to implement a "China plus one" strategy, whereby manufacturing capability in China was retained but supplemented with additional facilities, typically in ASEAN. As the new global trade landscape begins to unfold, companies will once again have to consider their production location sites, and whether ASEAN remains viable compared to near-shoring or onshoring in the US, including whether a twin-hub model leveraging the new Johor-Singapore Special Economic Zone (JS-SEZ) makes strategic sense.

Companies may also need to consider whether they will be best placed to manage the shocks to the global supply chain by maintaining production in-house or whether it is better to utilise a network of outsourced manufacturers or develop strategic joint ventures.

Where production is kept in-house, it will be necessary to evaluate whether a robust manufacturing network can be built sufficiently quickly or whether acquisitions are required.

#### ***Identify alternative suppliers***

Diversifying the supply chain is crucial to mitigate the risks associated with heavy reliance on affected regions. Steps include mapping the supply base, identifying potential alternative suppliers in less affected regions, negotiating flexible contracts with suppliers and considering nearshoring or reshoring options.

#### ***Find alternative markets for goods***

Exploring new markets can help businesses offset potential losses from decreased demand in the US, while recognising that finding a replacement market as large as US will not be an easy feat. Actions include researching and identifying emerging markets with favourable trade conditions, developing tailored marketing and sales strategies, and leveraging trade agreements and partnerships.

#### ***Find the new balance between profit maximisation and risk management***

The historic economic platform afforded by geopolitical stability and low interest rates (amongst other factors) enabled most companies to focus on profit maximisation and revenue growth. This was largely achieved by lowering the cost of production where possible and having open access to many markets. In a world of rising supply costs (either actual or tariff-driven) and reduced market access, the decision-making process for many companies will look different. Managing downside risk will become more important and companies may need to trade off higher profits for greater certainty, especially where existential risks are a growing concern.

#### **Conclusion**

The imposition of new US tariffs presents significant challenges for ASEAN businesses. By employing strategic scenario planning, diversifying supply, exploring new markets and managing currency risks, businesses can navigate this complex trade environment. Proactive measures and continuous adaptation will be essential to maintaining competitiveness and achieving long-term success in the face of evolving global trade dynamics.

Changes made to the supply chain may lead to different tax outcomes, compliance requirements and potential benefits. Companies must assess how these adjustments could impact their overall tax strategy, including the evaluation of incentives and grants, direct taxes, indirect taxes (e.g., tariffs and duties),

withholding taxes and other tax impact such as BEPS 2.0 Pillar Two. Engaging with tax professionals to navigate these complexities will be crucial in ensuring that companies remain compliant while optimising their tax positions. By integrating tax considerations into supply chain decisions, companies can better manage their financial exposure and enhance their resilience in a challenging trade landscape.

If you would like to know more about the issues discussed or EY services, please contact one of the following or your usual EY contact:

**International Corporate Tax Advisory**  
Chester Wee  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: chester.wee@sg.ey.com

**Operating Model Effectiveness**  
Paul Griffiths  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: paul.griffiths@sg.ey.com

**Global Trade**  
Donald Thomson  
EY Corporate Advisors Pte. Ltd.  
Email: Donald.Thomson@sg.ey.com

## **EY tax leaders in Singapore**

**Head of Tax**  
Amy Ang  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: Amy.Ang@sg.ey.com

### **Business Tax Services**

**Private Client Services**  
Desmond Teo  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: desmond.teo@sg.ey.com

**Tax Policy and Controversy**  
Angela Tan  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: angela.tan@sg.ey.com

**Global Compliance and Reporting**  
Chai Wai Fook  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: wai-fook.chai@sg.ey.com

**Financial Services Tax**  
Stephen Bruce  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: stephen.bruce@sg.ey.com

**Indirect Tax Services**  
Chew Boon Choo  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: boon-choo.chew@sg.ey.com

**People Advisory Services Tax**  
Panneer Selvam  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: panneer.selvam@sg.ey.com

### **International Tax and Transaction Services (ITTS)**

**ITTS Advisory**  
Chester Wee  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: chester.wee@sg.ey.com

**Transfer Pricing**  
Luis Coronado  
Ernst & Young Solutions LLP  
Email: luis.coronado@sg.ey.com

**Legal Services (Provided by Atlas Asia Law Corporation, an independent member firm of the global EY network)**  
Kenneth Cheow  
Email: kenneth.cheow@atlasasialaw.com.sg

## **EY | Building a better working world**

EY is building a better working world by creating new value for clients, people, society and the planet, while building trust in capital markets.

Enabled by data, AI and advanced technology, EY teams help clients shape the future with confidence and develop answers for the most pressing issues of today and tomorrow.

EY teams work across a full spectrum of services in assurance, consulting, tax, strategy and transactions. Fueled by sector insights, a globally connected, multi-disciplinary network and diverse ecosystem partners, EY teams can provide services in more than 150 countries and territories.

**All in to shape the future with confidence.**

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via [ey.com/privacy](https://ey.com/privacy). EY member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit [ey.com](https://ey.com).

© 2025 EY Corporate Advisors Pte. Ltd.  
All Rights Reserved.  
UEN 201911025K

APAC no. 12003925  
ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, legal or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

[ey.com](https://ey.com)