

### Part 2 in the Forensics 'S' in ESG series - human rights risk assessment

# Now

As discussed in the first article of our series on the "S" in environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues, the evolution of companies' social strategies calls for the evaluation of several elements, including human capital management, human rights, diversity, health and safety and/or forced labor. While many companies have assessed quantifiable areas of their social programs, such as diversity, equity and inclusiveness, pay transparency and employee engagement, other risk areas may be harder to evaluate. These risks include forced labor and other human rights violations targeted for enforcement under a wide array of regulatory schemes and guidance, such as the Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act (US) and the German Supply Chain Act, among others.

For example, in October 2022, U.S. Customs and Border Protection discussed the need for companies to evaluate such risks in its Customs Trade Partnership Against Terrorism Trade Compliance Handbook. According to the agency, companies' social compliance programs should include a risk-based approach to "ensure the supply chain is free from the use of forced labor."

We recommend that companies perform a risk assessment for human rights issues as they do with other regulatory risks. By doing this, they can identify gaps to mitigate these risks to an acceptable level, as determined by the company's risk appetite, and enable relevant parts of the organization to make informed decisions and set the company's expectations in its communications with both external and internal stakeholders.

It is important to start with your own operations and then develop a thoughtful plan on how to assess your supply chain human rights risk. Begin with mapping your supply chain; this includes evaluating key suppliers and distributors, the countries and regions in which they operate, and the local labor practices and labor laws. For more service-oriented operations, take a critical look at your vendors and other third-party relationships by exercising contractual rights such as audit and reporting provisions.

Considering the risk factors that contribute to human rights violations (see sample list below), evaluate your company's own operations, and engage with your highest-risk suppliers to evaluate how they are addressing the risk of forced labor. This should cover their efforts both in their workforce and with the suppliers they engage with for your products and services.

The first step in your human rights risk assessment is to determine who should conduct it given the complexity of the issues and geographic span of your operations. After determining if internal audit, legal, compliance or an outside consultant has the needed skill set, you can follow the general framework your company uses for compliance risk assessments to evaluate your human rights risk.

The next step is to consider the applicable US and international regulations that apply to your business. This may require in-country expertise to understand key requirements and guidance from enforcement authorities. After that, identify risks by analyzing applicable policies and processes and conducting interviews, surveys and/or data analytics. Determine the types of individual resources each of your businesses uses and how the types may differ by geography, engagement method (e.g., contractors vs. full-time employees) or nature of business. This will help prepare or update a risk mapping of your supply chain.

For the human rights risk assessment, consider the following risk factors, including some identified as red flag risks in our first article, and related issues.

Risk factors* (not an all-inclusive list)	Issues to consider (not an all-inclusive list)
Using lower-cost labor resources (i.e., nonunion laborers, foreign, child or migrant labor)	Does the company engage nonunion labor, especially foreign labor, at the same plant or location where they engage local union labor? Consider disparities in wages, allowances and number of hours worked.
	Are resources under 18 years old required to work long or unusual hours in dangerous working conditions without personnel documentation?
Procuring labor through a third-party entity	Does the company procure nonunion labor through a third party? Are the nonunion laborers from a foreign country? Is prison labor utilized?
	Understand the purpose of using the third party. Also understand the compensation structure, including management fees and payments to foreign labor. Are management fees withheld from remittance to individuals?
Charging third-party entity recruitment fees to foreign labor	What is the repayment term for recruitment fees? How many months' salary does it equate to?
	What costs were included in the fees (recruitment, loan, transportation/moving fees, visa/government fees, interest, etc.)?
Overtime	Can individuals refuse to work overtime? Are there penalties if they refuse?
	Are overtime incentives paid to certain groups of resources and not others?
	Do individuals work in excess of overtime limits?
Housing	Are individuals required to live in housing provided by the company?
	Are conditions livable and safe in the housing facilities (e.g., how many people share a unit or bathroom)?
	Do individuals rely on the company or a third party for basic needs?
	Do individuals have telephone and/or internet access? Can they contact friends and family members outside of the company?
HR mechanisms	Are individuals provided safe working conditions?
	Do individuals have a mechanism for grievances?
	Are individuals punished through threats (legal, physical, etc.), deprivation (sleep, food, etc.), fines, penalties, withheld wages or violence?
Geographic risk	The U.S. State Department categorizes countries based on their compliance with the standards set forth in the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000; countries are categorized into three tiers (Tier 3 being the worst).

\*Consider commodity/sector and nature of the supply chain (e.g., cocoa, construction, apparel and agriculture, where supply chains are long and opaque with increased seasonal outsourcing and subcontracting).

# Next

After formulating the results of the risk assessment, what you do with those results is an important next step. Begin by ranking the identified gaps based on their severity and potential impact on the organization. Focus on high-risk areas that require immediate attention. For example, consider the need for greater training or communication with the workforce in high-risk countries. Another outcome could include the use of analytics to help monitor and identify risk areas. This will likely require strong partnership between business leaders, compliance, risk, IT and legal, among other functions, to assign an accurate risk ranking and actionable next steps.

To establish accountability and timing to address the gaps, create a comprehensive action plan to address each identified gap, including specific steps, responsible parties, timelines and resources needed for each control enhancement. From our experience, assigning the right team members and tracking progress results in accountability and action-oriented results. We have noted that assigning higher-level resources to have overall responsibility and executive sponsorship of the action plan's outcomes helps to keep the work on track with the timetable established. Consider action plan sponsorship by an existing board committee or other special management oversight function to provide crucial direction and support your risk profile.

An important outcome of the risk assessment includes the control enhancements recommended by the action plan. This may involve updating policies and procedures based on newly promulgated local and global anti-forced labor regulations and providing training to employees on new policies and processes. Even after the control enhancements, residual risks will likely still exist. For example, third-party staffing agencies may still use local labor in international manufacturing operations, but the key is to mitigate the risks around the activity to an acceptable level. Even after evaluating the control environment for onboarding and retaining the third-party staffing organizations, you may want to consider additional diligence and monitoring of these third parties, including the treatment and payment of individuals by the third party.

As part of the action plan, establish your communication plan for key stakeholders. Also consider ongoing monitoring to evaluate the effectiveness of your action plan.

## Beyond

As you continue to evaluate the risk of human rights violations in your workforce and supply chain, we will be discussing additional ways to address your risks. Future articles will consider how we see more companies performing "social audits" to address the risks emerging from the supply chain risk assessment – and the CTPAT Trade Compliance Handbook sets an expectation that companies display evidence of the social compliance efforts, including audit reports as an example. We will also be discussing how to use data analytics to monitor the risks. Additionally, we will consider how to incorporate human rights due diligence into a proposed acquisition or joint venture plan.

# Forensic & Integrity Services ESG authors | Ernst & Young LLP



### Amanda Massucci

EY Americas Transaction Forensics Leader US Forensics West Region Leader +1 213 977 8380 amanda.massucci@ey.com



# Jeff Everson

EY US Forensics Senior Manager +1 312 879 4249 jeff.everson@ey.com

#### EY | Building a better working world

EY exists to build a better working world, helping to create long-term value for clients, people and society and build trust in the capital markets.

Enabled by data and technology, diverse EY teams in over 150 countries provide trust through assurance and help clients grow, transform and operate.

Working across assurance, consulting, law, strategy, tax and transactions, EY teams ask better guestions to find new answers for the complex issues facing our world today.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Information about how EY collects and uses personal data and a description of the rights individuals have under data protection legislation are available via ey.com/privacy. EY member firms do not practice law where prohibited by local laws. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP is a client-serving member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited operating in the US.

#### About EY Forensic & Integrity Services

Embedding integrity into an organization's strategic vision and day-to-day operations is critical when managing complex issues of fraud, regulatory compliance, investigations and business disputes. Our international team of more than 4,000 forensic and technology professionals helps leaders balance business objectives and risks, build data-centric ethics and compliance programs, and ultimately develop a culture of integrity. We consider your distinct circumstances and needs to assemble the right multidisciplinary and culturally aligned team for you and your legal advisors. We strive to bring you the benefits of our leading technology, deep subject-matter knowledge and broad global sector experience.

© 2023 Ernst & Young LLP. All Rights Reserved. US SCORE no. 21077-231US

2303-4204849 | ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, legal or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice. Certain services and tools may be restricted for EY audit clients and their affiliates to comply with applicable independence standards. Please ask your EY contact for further information.

ey.com/us/forensics