$Y$ refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or each of which is a separate of Ernst \& Young Global Limited, Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about ou rganization, please visit ey.com

O 2016 EYGM Limited.
All Rights Reserved.
1608-2009083
EYG No: 03451-164GBL
ED None
This material has been prepared for oenerala intormational purposes only and is Pleaser eréer to your advisoors for specific advice.
ey.com

## How to make price and promotion work harder

Three-quarters of consumer product (CP) companies are struggling to grow both revenue and profitability. ${ }^{1}$ What worked before does not work today.

Companies are finding it hard to keep pace with fast-changing consumer needs and digital disruption while overemphasizing cost cutting to boost profits and satisfy shareholders. All of this adds up to increasing pressures on revenue and profit margins. Although CP companies recognize the need to change their business operations, almost half ( $46 \%$ ) of the CP executives find previous attempts at changing ways of working have failed.'

One obstacle is the historical focus on deep discounting as the key to promotion. Cutting promotion spending can provide one-time gains in earnings, but it does not address the need for growth and is risky for a company's long-term health.

Pricing and promotion value levers, however, can increase profitable growth if managed appropriately. Companies can build a clearer, fact based understanding of what actually drives a successful promotion, and manage those levers better. They can then run promotions that strike a better balance between investment and return - and achieve profitable growth at an affordable cost.
The scope for improvement is vast. Our analysis of over 2,000 promotion events for 25 promoted product groups (PPGs) across more than 14 US retailers found that, while close to $20 \%$ of manufacturer revenue was invested on trade promotion, on average events lost money [average return on investment (ROI) was 95\% vs. break-even of 100\%].

Five steps to improved pricing
and promotion


Optimize everyday prices

Use smaller discounts - only go deep for feature or display

3Rethink duration, timing, shopper marketing and co-promotions

Create promotion strategies at the retailer and PPG level

Build profits for both the retailer and the manufacturer

## Benefits include:

- Volume up $1 \%$ to $4 \%$
- Sales revenue up 8\% to 10\%
- Gross profit up $8 \%$ to $12 \%$ (net of trade spend)

In the first half of 2016, EY worked with Sequoya Analytics and the Promotion In the first half of 2016, EY worked with Sequoya A aly actions to be profitable. The study covers two years of data from a broad range ctions to be profitable. The study covers two years of data from a broad ran CP manufacturers in the US food, beverage, and health and personal care ele pecial thank you to POI, Sequoya, and the manfacturers who shared thei data, time and energy to enable this analysis.


## When is pricing more important than promotion?

Standard discounts and general promotions seldom work. Our analysis confirms that nearly $70 \%$ of such promotions lose money They widen the gap between gross and net revenue, ultimately reducing earnings per share.

## Nearly 70\% <br> of events lose money

 To increase ROI, companies needto start by establishing the "right" to start by establishing the "right" everyday price. For the PPGs and retalld improve volum, mon $80 \%$ profitability by changing everyday price (see Figure 1). About half of them
could improve profitability by taking their price up, with limited impact on volume or revenue.

Analytics will help CP companies to pinpoint the right everyday price. CP companies that have a data-informed view of price elasticity - the effect of price changes on demand - can create better pricing strategies and spot opportunities to drive margin, volume and revenue. They can also clarify whether it's better to go with an "everyday low price" (EDLP) strategy, or to take a more standard "hi-lo" route, where a product is offered at a high price and then heavily discounted

A range of factors come into play here - from changes in the weather to rival offers from competitors; companies can use weather to rival offers from competitors; companies can use predictive modeling to assess their impatts. If the analysis sho changes than promotion discounts, then it's time to consider an EDLP strategy. We've simulated what happens when a food company moves from hi-lo to EDLP to show the profit this could deliver (see Figure 2).

This kind of analysis can help a company to decide how importan price is compared to promotion in its overall marketing mix, and how to best use an EDLP strategy across categories and channels

## Is it time to cut the "deadwood" spend?

CP companies often increase prices to a level that's not sustainable, and then cut them back to a more realistic point. But this "deadwood" discounting reduces the profitability of But this "deadwood" discounting reduces the profitabiity of requires a greater discount to hit the desired price, thereby increasing promotion spend.

CP companies should replace deadwood spending where possible and invest in activities that drive greater shopper loyalty, such as product innovation, better in-store positioning and promotion events.


## Figure 2

While hi-lo may yield similar revenue, EDLP may deliver higher profitability

Food example 2*



## 3 <br> Use smaller discounts only go deep for feature or display



## When do discounts make a difference?

Nearly $40 \%$ of the PPG and retailer combinations we analyzed could make their promotion events more profitable by offering smaller discounts (see Figure 3). Typically, CP manufacturers rely far too heavily on deep, temporary price reductions.
The success of a promotion will depend on a company's ability to get multiple points of detail right across consumer, channel and get multiple points of detail right across consumer, channel and But heavily discounted events largely lead to negative ROIs.

Discounts are essential, but promotions do not succeed by price alone. CP manufacturers often try to reach their financial targets by using temporary price reductions in isolation. For example, our analysis suggests that 6\% of TPR events are run without merchandising. Promotions that use temporary price reductions are more likely to increase revenue and profit when they are combined with merchandising - especially display or feature and display.
This is true across channels and categories. Figure 4 makes the point. The data here shows that feature-aided promotions are not point. The data here shows that feature-aided promotions are not a result of decreased or lower shopper awareness of the feature.

## When do deep discounts work?

Events that combine temporary price reductions with merchandising displays (in-store, visual shelf or aisle PPG promotions) perform better than discount tactics alone. They produce higher revenue and profit. But without merchandising (TPR only), discount levels of $20 \%$ or below deliver better results.

Heavily discounted
events typically lead to lower ROls

Given this, manufacturers should only use deep discounting as a bargaining chip to gain access to merchandising. In the food and discounting is frequently used discounth is to negotiate space and prominence in the grocery channel, this strategy of using discounts as a way to secure merchandising tactics can be very effective.

## Figure 3

Nearly 40\% of all PPGs can improve profit by reducing depth of discount

## Figure 4

Combining a temporary price reduction (TPR) with feature and display drives revenue and profit

Promoted price elasticity vs. promotion performance


Average manufacturer incremental revenue

| Percentage | TPR only | TPR | $\begin{gathered} \text { TPR }+ \\ \text { dinat } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { TeR }+ \\ \text { teatue }}}{\text { tot }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $10 \%$ | + |  | ++ | + + |
| 11-20\% | + | +/- | ++ | ${ }_{++}^{+}$ |
| 21-30\% | +/- |  | ++ | ++ + |
| 31-40\% | +/- |  | ++ | ${ }_{++}^{+}$ |
| 41-50\% | +/- |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ |

Average manufacturer incremental net profit Percentage
discount


## Rethink duration,

 timing, shopper marketing and co-promotions

## Most promotions are too short

Our analysis shows that four to five weeks is the ideal length of a promotion. This is where most companies achieve optimal ROI. But the average promotion only runs for around a third of that time (see Figure 5).
A strategic focus on improving ROI across categories, channels and customers will help CP companies to re-evaluate the length of their promotions. Detailed analysis of specific PPG and retailer This should take into account mother factors such seasolity, contion, stare historical performace.

## Timing can make a difference

In the desire to drive volume, many companies promote as often as possible throughout the year. However, CP companies can make their promotions more successful if they time them to coincide with - or to avoid - seasonal and holiday events, such as the arrival of summer or Christmas. Data analysis can make this tactic far more effective by helping companies to pinpoint how and when to best capture the attention of targeted shoppers.
Companies should run promotions for heavily seasonal PPGs (like candy, alcoholic beverages and cold/flu) during core holidays. Ou analysis shows that, for highly seasonal products, promotions during non-core holidays or non-seasonal periods are significantly less effective, with lower financial results.

If the PPG is not heavily seasonal (such as cereal, juice or dental hygiene), promotions outside of core holiday windows were often more successful. This inefficiency during holidays may be due to competition with seasonal products.

## Is shopper marketing worth the extra cost?

Companies need to weigh the additional cost of promotions that include shopper marketing against the probability of an equivalen financial gain. These are promotions that feature some form of

Our analysis of a sample of food and beverage shopper marketing promotions showed that they generated higher revenue than events that did not have shopper marketing. However, because shopper marketing events are generally more expensive than promotions alone, they often result in significantly lower return on investment.

Despite lower ROI, if done properly shopper marketing can build brand equity. Thus, such events should be considered with their short- and long-term impacts in mind.


When single-product marketing beats co-promotion
When companies combine multiple PPGs in one co-promotion, they risk cannibalizing their own sales. This can lower volume and revenue (and, ultimately, profit). For instance, our study found this happening across multiple beverage PPG promotions. The companies involved could have increased volume by $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ and revenue by $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ if they had run separate promotions on each product at different times.
Companies can use predictive analytics, based on historical performance and category factors such as cannibalization, to better understand the risks associated with their promotion tactics and make better decisions.

## 4 <br> Create promotion strategies at the retailer and PPG level



## Detail makes the difference

To improve category, channel and consumer performance, manufacturers need to sharpen the focus of their promotion strategies and tactics at a granular level.
For a variety of reasons (such as tight budgets, timing and resources), they often use promotion strategies based on genera trade strategies across a category or channel. This high-level different consumer needs and shopping behaviors. Manufacturers will find it harder to met their - and retailers' - financial aoals if wil find it harder to meet their and etalers' ' ccia goar if purchase decisions at a specific retailer,

## Focus at the retailer and PPG leve

Not all retailers in a channel are alike - they require different strategies and tactics. Retailers and manufacturers, for example, may differ in pricing methodologies, optimal timing and tactical implementation. CP companies need to take these differences into account.

## High-level

 strategies often fail to incorporate different consumer needs and shopping behaviors$\qquad$
Optimal trade promotion tactics (such as discount levels and merchandising) may be simiiar at
the category and channel levels but the optimal promotiontactics are different at the retaier and PPG levels (see Figure 6)

Aports A product's market position by channel can also help to determine the type of promotion strategy needed to succeed. A better understanding of the relationship between a promoted product's market share and its promotion performance can help a manufacturer decide how to direct trade spending (see Figure 7).


PPG market share position


## Build profits <br> for both the retailer and the manufacturer



## It's not a zero-sum game

Manufacturers need to build more collaborative relationships with retailers, where they each keep the other's interests in mind. Too often they see pricing and promotion as a zero-sum game, where a win for one side implies a loss for the other.
The reality is that opportunities for both sides to improve their business performance occur more frequently than conventional thinking would have us believe Of all the promotion events e tudied, $35 \%$ were "win-win" - defined as having positive incremental profit for both manufacturers and retailers (see Figure 8).

## Characteristics of "win-win" <br> opportunities, on average:

- 60 percentage points greater uplift for manufacturer and retailer (compared to all other events)
- Over 10x more manufacturer incremental revenue per event
- \$110k more manufacturer incremental profit per event


## Know what's working

Many CP companies struggle to analyze the results of their promotions. Two-thirds have not automated the process and can usually evaluate just the top two or three events from their top five relarlers, according to pol $2015 ~ T p x$ and Retail Execution Survil and har simulate an outcome for a retail partner (see Figure 9).

As more companies measure promotion performance, the must expand their measures to not only include their own include metrics such as incremental revenue, incremental profit and margin. This will help manufacturers and retailers to find more win-win opportunities.
Retailer collaboration is critical. But there is still significant promotion non-compliance. According to POI, only $20 \%$ of CP manufacturers are satisfied with their ability to execute at the store level. And nearly 60\% said they can put together a good pan, but they have difficulty getting store-level compliance.

## The non-compliance problem

According to our analysis, the average percent all commodity value (\%ACV)4 for promotion compliance is only $67 \%$. Essentially, nearly one-third of retail stores are not running the promotions that were planned to be executed. In order to reach a more acceptable level of $80 \%$ or higher, greater execution will require a more coordinated effort among manufacturers, retailers, and their distributor and broker partners.



Responses to statement: You have trade promotion optimization ... predictive models to determine promotional outcomes in the hands of field users.


## Getting to the prize

Companies that make better use of analytics to refine and implement Companies that make better use of analytics to refine and impiement
their pricing and promotion strategies can achieve greater profitability without significantly sacrificing revenue. The most effective strategies tend to be those that consider pricing and promotion in tandem - rather than as separate challenges - and that manufacturers and retailers develop together.

## Key steps include:

- Set clear priorities: Effective pricing and promotion strategies target the right balance between volume and profit. And there's often a trade-off between the two so management needs to be clear on what is most important.
- Embrace analytics: Decision-makers need access to powerful, relevant data analytics. Many companies in the industry are piloting tools in this area, but analytics needs to be in the fabric of the business.
- Work together: Companies need to create a collaborative mindset where people work together, both across internal functions and with their retailer/manufacturer counterparts.
D Build capabilities: Companies need to strike the right balance between short-term actions that lead to better revenue or profit, and between short-term actions that iead to better revenue or profit, and
initiatives that deliver the capabilities to sustain long-term success.

Collaborative efforts to develop processes, tools and skills are essential. Companies have made great progress, but there is still plenty of room to grow. By dismantling pricing and promotion - and applying the right insights - companies can improve top- and bottom-line results.

Lastly, by better understanding the role of price and promotion. companies can rely less solely on these tactics to drive growth. And instead think more about how to use other, equity-building vehicles (innovation, assortment, etc.) to create a 'win-win' for the manufacturer, (innovation, assortment, etc.) to cre


## About the analysis

## Modeling approach

Promoted product group (PPG) and retailer-level models were created from 104 weeks of historical syndicated data. Using the models, the team was able to identify the impact (i.e., coefficients) of both base and incremental variables. Base variables cluded everyday price and seasonality. Incremental variables included multiple forms of temporary price reductions - such as discounts, bonus packs and BOGOs as well as feature and display activity. We reinforced the incremental variables with manufacturer-specific overlays such as shopper marketing events and holiday and calendar effects. The models were supplemented with promotion event spend and financial margins to allow an understanding of profitability
To perform the analysis, we used a two-stage approach. In Stage 1, we conducted post-event analysis to understand how each event performed in terms of ROI, lift, and incremental revenue/profit (including pantry loading). In Stage 2 , we used the variable coefficients from the models to perform predictive analytics (i.e., "what-if" simulation), thus enabling us to test various scenarios/hypotheses. Lastly, PPG level product interactions were modeled among a manufacturer's own brands to incorporate the effects of cannibalization.

## About our collaborators

Sequoya Analytics: Over the last 20 years, Sequoya has been building Consumer Demand Management models and software solutions for some of the world's larges CP manufacturers. Sequoya's experience spans five continents and hundreds of non-linear regression modeling to identify the volumetric value of each historical activity in each historical location to most accurately predict consumption, and activity in each historical location to most accurately predict consumption, and are used by numerous companies to improve the outcomes of their pricing and promotion decisions.

Promotion Optimization Institute (POI): The Promotion Optimization Institute brings together manufacturers, retailers, solution providers, analysts, academics and other industry leaders to work together to improve the promotion and distribution of consumer goods. Members of the Institute share cross-functional leading practices. They also benefit from industry alliances, the Certified Collaborative Marketer program, and industry-leading summits around the globe. POI aims to instill a financial and metrics-based discipline not typically found with other trade groups.
The goal of its innovative approach is to optimize promotion through collaboration.
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